19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

On or about October 27, 2008, the State filed an allegation that it intended to seek the death penalty in this case alleging aggravating circumstances pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 13-703(F)(2), 13-703(F)(5), and 13-703(F)(13). On or about November 21, 2008, the State filed an amended notice adding the A.R.S. § 13-703(F)(12) allegation. On January 2, 2009, the case was remanded to the grand jury for a new finding of probable cause which was returned on February 6, 2009. Defendant filed a second motion to remand. Following denial of the second

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300 Prescott, AZ 86301 Phone: (928) 771-3344 Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

motion to remand, the State re-alleged the capital aggravators on May 13, 2009. On June 29, 2009, the notice was amended to included the aggravating circumstance pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-703(F)(6).

Following a *Chronis* Hearing¹ this Court found the State had probable cause to allege the death penalty aggravators pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 13-703(F)(2), 13-703(F)(5), and 13-703(F)(6). Since that time Defendant has been on notice the State had sufficient evidence to present to a jury the aforementioned sentencing aggravating circumstances. Two (2) death penalty aggravators pursuant to A.R.S. §§13-703(F)(2), and 13-703(F)(6) were dismissed as a Court ordered sanction.

On May 26, 2010 the State moved to dismiss the death penalty and this Court immediately granted the motion without opposition from the defense. As a direct result of the dismissal of the death penalty, the aggravating circumstance of pecuniary gain pursuant to A.R.S. §13-703(F)(5), was automatically dismissed.

The dismissal of the death penalty and the aggravator factor of pecuniary gain occurred between the time the parties were conducting voir dire of the jury and before the jury has been seated. This jury will be deciding the guilt or innocence of the defendant on charges of pre-mediated first degree murder and armed burglary. Due to the procedural circumstances of this case, the State could not file any non-capital sentencing allegations of aggravating circumstances until the death penalty was dismissed.

Rule 13.5(a) allows the prosecutor to amend an indictment to add an allegation of one or more non-capital sentencing aggravators that must be found by a jury. "Absent the

¹ State v. Chronis, 220 Ariz. 559, 208 P.3d 210 (2009)(a defendant has a right to a probable cause determination for alleged death penalty aggravators).

Office of the Yavapai County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street, Suite 300 Prescott, AZ 86301 Phone: (928) 771-3344 Facsimile: (928) 771-3110

defendant's consent, a criminal 'charge may be amended only to correct mistakes of fact or remedy formal or technical defects.' *Ariz. R.Crim. P.* 13.5(b)." *State v. Freeney,* 223 Ariz. 110, ¶ 1, 219 P.3d 1039, 1040. "A defect may be considered formal or technical when its amendment does not operate to change the nature of the offense charged or to prejudice the defendant in any way.' *State v. Bruce,* 125 Ariz. 421, 423, 610 P.2d 55, 57 (1980)." *Id.* at ¶ 11, 219 P.3d at 1041. Rule 16.1(b) requires the filing of all motions no later than 20 days prior to trial, or at such other time as the court may direct. Rule 16.1(c) precludes a motion not timely raised unless the basis therefore was not then known, and by the exercise of reasonable diligence could not have been known and the party raises it promptly upon learning of it.

CONCLUSION

The State submits that procedurally it could not allege non-capital sentencing aggravating circumstance pursuant to A.R.S §13-702 until after the dismissal of the death penalty on May 26, 2010.

Pursuant to Rule 13.5, *Ariz.R.Crim.P.*, and *Blakely v. Washington*, 542 U.S. 296, 124 S.Ct. 2531 (2004), the State moves this court for leave to file the following aggravating circumstances:

- 1. Use, threatened use or possession of a deadly weapon or dangerous instrument during the commission of the crime. A.R.S. Sec. 13-702(C)(2) (the State will not allege this aggravator for the armed burglary offense);
- 2. Especially heinous, cruel or depraved manner in which the offense was committed. A.R.S. Sec. 13-702(C)(5);
- 3. Defendant committed the offense(s) as consideration for the receipt, or in the expectation of the receipt, of anything of pecuniary value. A.R.S. Sec. 13-702(C)(6);
- 4. The victim or, if the victim has died, the victim's immediate family suffered

1 2 702(C)(9); 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 COPIES of the foregoing delivered this day of June, 2010 to: 15 Honorable Thomas J. Lindberg 16 Division 6 17 Yavapai County Superior Court (via email) 18 John Sears 19 511 E.. Gurley St. 20 Prescott, AZ 86301 Attorney for Defendant 21 (via email) 22 Larry Hammond Anne Chapman 23 Osborn Maledon, P.A. 24 2929 North Central Ave, 21st Floor Phoenix, AZ 25 Attorney for Defendant (via email) 26

physical, emotional and financial harm caused to the victim(s). A.R.S. Sec. 13-5. Lying in wait for the victim(s) or ambushing the victim(s) during the commission

of any felony. A.R.S. Sec. 13-702(C)(17);

6. Dangerous felony offense(s) pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 13-604.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this

Sheila Sullivan Polk

YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY

Deputy County Attorney